Strengthening The Heartbeat of A Hospital: Exploring The Dual Impact of Job Stress And Satisfaction on Hospital Staff Performance In Nanded District, India

Resume Submitted to

SWAMI RAMANAND TEERTH MARATHWADA UNIVERSITY, NANDED

For the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Management Science

Under The Faculty of Commerce and Management

By

Dheya Abdo Sallam Abdullah Al-Qarshee

MBA(HR)

Under the guidance of

Dr. Gajanan P. Mudholkar

(Assistant Professor& Research Guide School of Commerce & Management Sciences)

Research Center

School of Commerce & Management Sciences

Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University Nanded, India.

April.2025

Topic Title Strengthening The Heartbeat of A Hospital: Exploring The Dual Impact of Job Stress And Satisfaction on Hospital Staff Performance In Nanded District, India

Introduction:

1.1 Introduction to the Topic

Hospitals serve as the critical lifeline of any health system — institutions where not only health outcomes are decided, but where human resilience, collaboration, and emotional strength are tested daily. At the core of every hospital's functioning lies its staff: the doctors, nurses, technicians, and administrators who work under immense pressure to deliver high-quality care to patients. These professionals operate in high-stress, high-stakes environments that demand precision, compassion, and constant adaptability. Their well-being is central to the efficiency, safety, and sustainability of healthcare delivery. Hence, understanding the dynamics that influence their performance is both timely and essential.

Job stress and job satisfaction are two intertwined psychological states that significantly impact employee behavior and performance. Job stress, when prolonged or excessive, can lead to burnout, absenteeism, decreased productivity, and medical errors. On the other hand, job satisfaction has been linked with improved morale, motivation, staff retention, and overall institutional effectiveness. In the healthcare sector, where mistakes can be a matter of life and death, the dual forces of stress and satisfaction take on heightened importance.

The healthcare environment is often characterized by long working hours, high patient-to-staff ratios, emotional labor, bureaucratic demands, and understaffing — all of which contribute to chronic stress. Simultaneously, staff derive satisfaction from meaningful work, supportive leadership, career growth opportunities, and recognition. Striking a balance between these opposing forces is essential to sustaining a high-performing and emotionally resilient hospital workforce.

India's healthcare system, particularly in states like Maharashtra, has seen a transformation with rising patient loads, an increase in privatization, and evolving expectations from healthcare professionals. While infrastructural challenges persist, the human resource dimension has often been under- researched. This study focuses on Maharashtra's hospital staff, both in government and private setups, with the goal of exploring how job stress and satisfaction together influence their individual and organizational performance.

integrated approach that explores how both these variables interact and simultaneously affect performance. This research intends to bridge this gap by adopting a dual-lens perspective and empirically investigating the combined impact of job stress and satisfaction on hospital staff performance.

In doing so, the research aims to provide actionable insights for hospital administrators, HR departments, and health policymakers. By identifying stressors and enhancers of

satisfaction, it is possible to design interventions that not only reduce burnout but also improve efficiency, patient care quality, and workforce retention.

This study is also timely in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, which exposed the vulnerabilities of hospital systems and underscored the importance of workforce well-being. The pandemic amplified stress levels among healthcare professionals while also reshaping their perceptions of satisfaction, commitment, and resilience. Therefore, this research will also consider the post-pandemic realities of hospital work and how these have influenced job perceptions and outcomes.

1.2 Research Questions:

To comprehensively explore the dual impact of job stress and job satisfaction on hospital staff performance, the following research questions are posed. These questions are designed to address not only the individual and interactive effects of these psychological variables but also to understand how they operate across different categories of staff and organizational contexts.

Research Question 1:

To what extent does job stress influence the overall performance of hospital staff, and how do specific dimensions of stress (e.g., workload, role ambiguity, emotional strain) correlate with individual and organizational outcomes in both public and private hospitals?

This question aims to explore how various stressors manifest in the hospital environment and whether these directly impact measurable aspects of staff performance, including decision-making, productivity, and patient care quality.

Research Question 2:

How does job satisfaction among hospital staff contribute to enhanced work performance, and which elements of satisfaction (such as recognition, autonomy, supervision quality, and job security) are most strongly associated with performance improvements?

This question investigates the specific aspects of job satisfaction that have the most significant influence on performance and attempts to isolate key motivational drivers in the hospital workplace.

Research Question 3:

Are there significant differences in the levels of job stress and job satisfaction experienced by clinical staff (e.g., doctors, nurses) versus non-clinical staff (e.g., administrative personnel, support staff), and how do these differences affect their respective performance outcomes?

This question addresses role-specific variances in psychological experiences and aims to identify if interventions should be tailored according to job function.

Research Question 4:

What is the combined effect of job stress and job satisfaction on hospital staff performance, and does the presence of one (e.g., high satisfaction) mitigate the adverse effects of the other (e.g., high stress)?

This question takes an interactional perspective, exploring whether job satisfaction acts as a buffer against stress or whether the relationship is more complex, potentially producing non-linear effects on staff performance.

Research Question 5:

How do organizational factors such as hospital ownership type (government vs. private), management style, and institutional support influence the relationship between job stress, job satisfaction, and staff performance?

This question seeks to understand the organizational context and its moderating effect on psychological experiences and work outcomes, offering insights into policy and administrative differences.

Research Question 6:

To what extent do demographic factors such as age, gender, educational qualification, work experience, and department affiliation moderate the relationship between job stress, job satisfaction, and performance in hospitals?

This question explores whether certain groups of hospital staff are more vulnerable or resilient to stress or more likely to derive satisfaction from their roles, thereby influencing their performance levels.

Research Question 7:

What practical strategies and human resource practices can be implemented within hospitals to reduce job stress, enhance job satisfaction, and ultimately improve staff performance and patient care quality?

This question focuses on translating findings into actionable recommendations for healthcare administrators, emphasizing the applied value of the research in designing supportive workplace policies and environments.

1.3 Objectives of the Research Study:

1. **To analyze the levels of job stress among hospital staff in Nanded district.**

2. **To study the impact of job stress on hospital staff performance in Nanded district.**

3. **To evaluate the job satisfaction factors affecting hospital staff in Nanded district.**

4. **To study the impact of job satisfaction on hospital staff performance in Nanded district.**

5. **To examine the combined effect of job stress and satisfaction on staff efficiency and service delivery in Nanded district.**

6. **To suggest strategies to improve staff well-being and hospital performance in Nanded district.**

1.4 Hypotheses of the Research Studies:

The following null hypotheses have been formulated to guide the empirical testing of the relationship between job stress, job satisfaction, and hospital staff performance. These hypotheses aim to examine not just whether these factors have a measurable impact, but also whether their interaction creates conditions that significantly influence performance outcomes across different types of hospital staff.

Hypothesis 1 (H_{01}):

There is no statistically significant relationship between job stress and hospital staff performance in public and private healthcare institutions in Naded.

This hypothesis assumes that variations in perceived job stress among hospital staff do not lead to measurable differences in their work performance, whether in terms of efficiency, accuracy, patient care quality, or professional behavior. It challenges the commonly held assumption that high job stress directly contributes to reduced output or errors, and seeks to test whether this relationship is substantiated by empirical evidence in the context of Indian hospitals.

Hypothesis 2 (H_{02}):

There is no statistically significant relationship between job satisfaction and hospital staff performance in public and private healthcare institutions in Naded.

This hypothesis suggests that differences in job satisfaction levels among staff members—stemming from factors such as work environment, compensation, recognition, or leadership—do not result in significant variations in their performance. By testing this hypothesis, the study will explore whether a satisfied employee necessarily performs better, or if other mediating factors play a larger role in hospital settings.

Hypothesis 3 (H_{03}):

There is no significant difference in the impact of job stress on performance among clinical (e.g., doctors, nurses) and non-clinical (e.g., administrativ

This hypothesis seeks to investigate whether job stress affects clinical and non-clinical personnel equally, or whether certain roles are more vulnerable to performance degradation under stress. Since clinical staff often deal with critical patient care responsibilities, this test will examine whether they exhibit different stress-performance dynamics compared to those working behind the scenes.

Hypothesis 4 (H_{04}):

There is no significant interaction effect between job stress and job satisfaction on hospital staff performance.

This hypothesis explores whether the interaction between job stress and job satisfaction contributes to performance outcomes. It assumes that the presence of one variable (e.g., high satisfaction) does not mitigate or amplify the effect of the other (e.g., high stress). This hypothesis is essential for understanding whether a highly satisfied employee can still perform well under stress, or whether one variable negates the effect of the other entirely.

2. Literature Review:

Job Stress and Hospital Work Environments

Workplace stress in the healthcare industry is a well-documented phenomenon. Studies suggest that hospital environments are breeding grounds for occupational stress due to the critical nature of services, time sensitivity, and emotional involvement (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). Nurses, doctors, and support staff regularly deal with life-and-death situations, administrative demands, and shift work, contributing to chronic stress.

Job Satisfaction in Healthcare Settings

Job satisfaction among hospital staff has been linked with improved job performance, higher patient satisfaction, and reduced turnover rates (Herzberg, 1959; Locke, 1976). Key determinants include recognition, job security, remuneration, interpersonal relationships, and opportunities for professional development.

Dual-Impact Studies

Few studies have addressed the interplay between job stress and satisfaction simultaneously. It is essential to consider both constructs together to get a holistic view of their impact on staff behavior and institutional performance (Spector, 1997).

Gaps in Existing Literature

While numerous studies investigate job stress or satisfaction individually, limited research explores their combined effect, particularly in the Indian hospital context. This study attempts to bridge that gap by focusing on the dual impact in public and private hospital settings in Maharashtra.

3. Research Methodology:

This section presents the methodology designed to examine the dual impact of job stress and job satisfaction on hospital staff performance. The methodology outlines the research design, target population, sampling techniques, data collection instruments, and analysis methods.

3.1 Research Design:

The research will adopt a quantitative descriptive and explanatory research design, allowing the study to measure the levels of stress and satisfaction and assess their influence on staff performance. This approach is suitable for testing relationships between variables and testing hypotheses (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017).

3.2 Population and Sample:

The population for this study includes hospital staff (doctors, nurses, technicians, and administrative personnel) across public and private hospitals in Maharashtra. The estimated population is approximately 50,000.

Using Krejcie and Morgan's (1970) sample size table, a sample of 381 participants will be selected to represent this population with a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error.

3.3 Sampling Technique:

The stratified random sampling technique will be employed, categorizing participants based on roles (clinical vs. non-clinical), hospital type (public vs. private), and experience level. This ensures fair representation across strata and improves the reliability of the findings (Saunders et al., 2019).

3.4 Data Collection Methods:

The data will be collected using a structured questionnaire divided into four sections:

1. **Demographics**

2. **Job Stress Scale (based on Cohen's Perceived Stress Scale)**

3. **Job Satisfaction Scale (based on Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire)**

4. **Performance Scale (self-rated and supervisor-evaluated metrics)**

Secondary data will also be utilized from HR departments and published health sector reports.

3.5 Data Analysis Methods:

Data will be analyzed using SPSS or MS Excel. Techniques will include:

- **Descriptive statistics (mean, SD, frequency, percentage)**
- **Reliability testing (Cronbach's alpha)**
- **Correlation analysis**
- **Regression analysis**
- **ANOVA and t-tests for group comparisons**
- **Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to evaluate relationships among constructs**

4. Ethical Considerations:

Ethical integrity is critical. The study will ensure:

- **Informed consent from all participants**
- **Confidentiality of personal and institutional data**
- **Anonymity in reporting and analysis**
- **Voluntary participation with the right to withdraw**

· **Data protection compliant with ethical research standards (European Commission, 2018)**

Approval from an Institutional Ethics Committee will be obtained prior to data collection.

5. Scope of the Research Studies:

The present study focuses on exploring the dual impact of job stress and job satisfaction on hospital staff performance within the healthcare sector of Maharashtra, India. It aims to analyze how emotional and psychological dimensions of work, especially stress and satisfaction, collectively influence staff behavior, efficiency, engagement, and overall professional output. The research considers a diverse group of hospital employees, including clinical professionals (doctors, nurses, technicians) and non-clinical personnel

(administrative and support staff), employed in both public and private hospitals.

Given the complexity of hospital environments and the high-stakes nature of healthcare delivery, the study investigates stressors such as long working hours, emotional fatigue, lack of resources, administrative burden, and interpersonal conflicts. It also examines satisfaction-generating factors such as job recognition, career progression opportunities, supportive leadership, organizational culture, and work-life balance. These factors are evaluated in relation to their effect on individual performance, patient service quality, and institutional functioning.

The research is confined to selected hospitals in the state of Maharashtra due to time and resource limitations. However, the insights generated from this study are expected to have wider relevance, especially for developing countries with similar healthcare challenges. By focusing on both job stress and job satisfaction in a combined framework, the study intends to provide a more comprehensive understanding of employee performance dynamics than studies that examine these variables in isolation.

Moreover, the research investigates how demographic factors like age, gender, experience, and job role influence the relationship between stress, satisfaction, and performance. It also assesses the role of organizational characteristics—such as hospital ownership, staffing patterns, and HR practices—in moderating these relationships. The findings of this research can serve as a valuable resource for hospital administrators, policymakers, and human resource professionals seeking to improve staff morale, reduce burnout, and optimize service delivery.

Ultimately, this study endeavors to fill existing research gaps, provide theoretical contributions to organizational behavior and health management literature, and offer practical strategies for strengthening the human foundation of healthcare institutions. By addressing both psychological burdens and motivators of hospital staff, the study aims to contribute to building a healthier, more resilient, and more effective workforce.

models and practical hospital management strategies. Ultimately, this research seeks to strengthen the human infrastructure of hospitals by improving staff well-being and institutional performance.

6. Chapter Scheme of the Research Work:

The research will be structured into the following chapters:

6.1 Introduction

Overview, research problem, significance, objectives, and structure of the thesis.

6.2 Review of Literature

Theoretical background, empirical review, models, research gaps, and conceptual framework.

6.3 Research Design

Methodology including research design, sampling, instruments, data analysis, and ethical considerations.

6.4 Data Analysis and Interpretation

Presentation and interpretation of empirical findings using statistical tools.

6.5 Findings, Conclusions, and Suggestions

Key findings, theoretical and practical implications, limitations, and recommendations for future studies.

7. References

1. Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 24(4), 385–396.

2. Spector, P. E. (1997). *Job Satisfaction: Application, Assessment, Causes, and Consequences*. Sage Publications.

3. Herzberg, F. (1968). One more time: How do you motivate employees?

***Harvard Business Review*, 46(1), 53–62.**

4. Judge, T. A., Thoresen, C. J., Bono, J. E., & Patton, G. K. (2001). The job satisfaction–job performance relationship. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127(3), 376–407.

5. Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). *Burnout*. World Psychiatry, 15(2), 103–111.

6. Greenberg, J. (2011). *Behavior in Organizations* (10th ed.). Pearson Education.

7. Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2019). *Organizational Behavior* (18th ed.). Pearson.

8. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. Sage Publications.

9. Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). *Research Methods for Business Students* (8th ed.). Pearson Education.

10. Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019).

***Multivariate Data Analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage.**

11. Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30(3), 607–610.

12. Resnik, D. B. (2015). What is ethics in research & why is it important?

***National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences*.**

13. Wiles, R., Crow, G., Heath, S., & Charles, V. (2008). The management of confidentiality and anonymity in social research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 11(5), 417–428.

14. European Commission. (2018). *EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)*. https://gdpr-info.eu/

15. Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 293–315.

16. Karasek, R. A. (1979). Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 24(2), 285–308.

17. Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16(2), 250– 279.

18. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands–Resources model. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309–328.

19. Srivastava, A. K., & Krishna, S. (1991). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment: A study of managers in manufacturing companies. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 26(4), 419–430.

20. Sharma, A., & Dhar, R. L. (2016). Factors influencing job performance of nursing staff. *Personnel Review*, 45(1), 161–182.

21. Kumar, M., & Shah, M. (2021). Occupational stress and job satisfaction among healthcare workers in India. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 9(5), 1343–1349.

22. Gupta, S., & Joshi, R. (2018). Work stress and employee performance in Indian healthcare sector. *Journal of Management Research and Analysis*, 5(4), 277–283.

23. D'Souza, M. S., et al. (2011). Work stress and coping among nurses. *Asian Nursing Research*, 5(3), 143–148.

24. Tripathi, M., & Bhattacharya, S. (2020). Impact of organizational culture on job satisfaction and performance. *International Journal of Management Studies*, 7(2), 91–104.

25. Yadav, P., & Kushwah, M. (2020). A study of employee satisfaction and performance in private hospitals. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(1), 88–95.

26. Singh, S. K., & Bhardwaj, A. (2018). Relationship between job satisfaction and performance in healthcare sector. *Journal of Health Management*, 20(3), 317–330.

27. Thomas, D., & George, L. (2018). Job satisfaction among healthcare professionals in India. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 9(11), 169–174.

28. Sharma, S., & Yadav, S. (2022). Measuring stress and satisfaction in post-COVID hospital settings. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(2), 204–213.

29. Malik, N. I. (2011). A study on occupational stress experienced by private and public hospital nurses. ***Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences,*** **5(3), 395–409.**

30. Aiken, L. H., Clarke, S. P., & Sloane, D. M. (2002). Hospital staffing, organization, and quality of care. ***International Journal for Quality in Health Care*****, 14(1), 5–13.**

Signature
Research scholar
Name: Dheya abdo sallam Abdullah AL qarshee

Signature
Research supervisor
Name- Dr.Gajanan Mudholkar